

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,375	08/02/2001	Ray Whitney	01-471	3959	
PATENT, COPYRIGHT & TRADEMARK LAW GROUP PO BOX 506			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, MY XUAN		
RICHFIELD, (ICHFIELD, OH 44286		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/921,375	WHITNEY, RAY
Examiner	Art Unit
My X. Nguyen	2617

	My X. Nguyen	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	hua mina an abn adaan af filimu na huinf	will not be entered by					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	and the second s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12 and 13.							
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: (flory	or Long	Mo Nauca					
SUPERVISOR	ORGE ENG Y PATENT EXAMINER	My Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding applicant's argument that "Tilford shows the camera fixed within the enclosure (Fig. 8),"
Tilford discloses a portable device which includes a video camera (Col. 3 Lines Line 53). Examiner contends the portable device may be moved and therefore is understood to have the claimed "swivel" capability. Since the camera is within the enclosure, it is understood Tilford discloses the claimed swivel-based camera.

Regarding applicant's argument that "Nowhere in Tilford is a buffer taught or suggested either coupled to or between the Feed LNB 41 and the tuner/modulator 62," it should be noted in light of the current rejection, claim 12 is rejected with regard to Tilford wherein Tilford discloses passing the digital signals transmitted via a satellite link and a wireless relay system (i.e., digital satellite system, Fig. 1 Element 20, Col. 4 Line 56 - Col. 5 Line 19) from said antenna that receives said signals (i.e., antenna, Fig. 11, Col. 7 Lines 45-49) to a series of line amplifiers (i.e., amplifier, Col. 6 Lines 26-29), said series of line amplifiers and a network switching element (i.e., transport IC, Fig. 12 Element 68, Col. 11 Lines 59-61) have an input buffer (i.e., buffer, Col. 11 Lines 65-67) coupled therebetween, said network switching element receives input from said PC/PCS modem (Col. 11 Lines 41-61).

Applicant contends nowhere in Tilford is a buffer taught or suggested either coupled to or between the Feed LNB 41 and the tuner/modulator 62, however it should be noted applicant has taken examiner's rejection out of context and therefore applicant's argument is considered moot. Examiner has identified Tilford disclosing the transport IC 68 (Fig. 12 Element 68, Col. 11 Lines 59-61) as being understood as the claimed network switching element and NOT the tuner/modulator 62 as being understood as the claimed network switching element as asserted by applicant.